11/30/06 U.S. BOUNTY Mr. Jerome Julius Brown SR #1014 ETAL, U.S. A. APPLYING IFP AMOUNT RECEIPT #

IF ANY

# Case 1:06-cv-03357-BEL Document To Filed 12/14/2006 Page 2 of 19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT EURIT 14/2006 Page 2 of 19 DISTRICT COURT OF MANY LAND (BAITIMONE) 410-962-2690 FAX

U.S. BOUNTY MR. JEROME J. BROWN SR.

DIRECTLY MR. ROBERT S. MUELLER, FBI

ASST. DIRECTOR, Employment, HQ

6655 SYKESVILLE ROAD SOL-B

SYKESVILLE MARYLAND 21784

1-877-812-3134 FAX 202-324-1091

PETITIONER

<u>V.</u>

ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE Commission OF MD,

BAR COUNSEL, MELVIN HIRSH MAN

INVESTIGATOR, WILLIAM M. RAMSEY

INVESTIGATOR, MARC O. FIEDLER

100 Community Place Suite 3301

CROWNSVILLE MD 21032-2027

1-800-492-1660, 410-514-7051

DEFENDANTS

FILED 11/30/2006

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY DENERAL

MR. Alberto R. bonzales

950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE N.W.

WAShington DC 20530

JO2-514-2663 FAX 4507

DEFENDANT

Jury DEMAND,
NATURE OF SUIT: 470,210.
MAGISTRATE, GARVEY.
28USCS. 1446(E) USMU.S. MARSHAL,
MR. JOHNNY L. HughES
101 W. Lomband ST. 6Th FL.
BAITIMORE MD 21201
H10-962-2220 FAX 3780
1
DEFENDANT

MEMORANDUM AFFIDAUITXC222200

U.S. DISTRICT COURT (BALTIMORE) CIVIL DOCKET CASE # 01 V. 1042

BROWN, ETAL & Hoskins, ETAL, NATURE OF SUIT FILED 4/9/01 PETITION

FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (STATE) REPRESENTED by JEROME Julius

BROWN PLAINTIFF U.S. ATTORNEY JEROME Julius BROWN SK, UNDER

TITLE 28 USCS 2254 (Rule 4) PETITION NOT SERVED by CERTIFIED MA
TITLE 28 USCS 2254 (Rule 4) PETITION NOT SERVED by CERTIFIED TR.

II TO RESPONDENTS AND ATTORNEY GENERAL J. JOSEPH CURRAN JR.

PETITION FOR RULE(9). ISSUANCE BENCH WARRANT ISSUED 8/25/06
TO Sheripf Charles M. CAVE NOT GIVEN FOR SERVICE TO Sheripf,
Charles M. CAVE 10/03/06 NOT TAKEN by The Judge, NO CJis Submitteds

ARCHIVE, CLOSED

# **U.S. District Court** District of Maryland (Baltimore) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:01-cv-01042-BEL

Brown, et al v. Hoskins, et al

Assigned to: Chief Judge Benson Everett Legg

Demand: \$0

Cause: 42:1983 Prisoner Civil Rights

Date Filed: 04/09/2001

Date Terminated: 04/12/2001

Jury Demand: None

Nature of Suit: 440 Civil Rights: Other

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

#### **Plaintiff**

U.S. Attorney Jerome Julius Brown

represented by Jerome Julius Brown

7209 Robinhood Drive Upper Marlboro, MD 20772

PRO SE

#### **Plaintiff**

Mr. Lewis J. Freech Director, FBI

V.

#### Defendant

Mr. Donald Hoskins

Chief, MVA

#### **Defendant**

Mr. Lansman

Head Investigation

#### **Defendant**

. The Attorney General of the State of Maryland

### **Defendant**

Norman E. Parker, Jr.

Mr., Deputy

#### **Defendant**

Mrs. Donna Hill Staton

Deputy

#### Rule 9

# OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

any connection or participation of ense was objectionable, but proper erance rather than dismissal of int to right in court to consolidate for ter appear in future proceedings that it have been joined without prejudice nent. United States v Harvick (1957, Supp 696, 57-2 USTC ¶ 10039, 52

of indictment must charge no more ise, and if more than one offense is gle count, such count is subject to diser, where indictment is subject to at s inder of defendants, this is not ground United States v I. Tirocchi & Sons C RI) 187 F Supp 778.

charges in one indictment in separa e held improper when existing circum such joinder unjust to defendant, built late indictment in its entirety as it may ordering separate trials of counts. Unit gan (1975, DC Puerto Rico) 393 F Supr

medy for misjoinder is to compel pro ect or to sever defendants for trial rail niss indictment. United States v Campbell Inc. (1979, DC Mass) 470 F Supp 43 H Trade Cases ¶ 62953.

# eversal of conviction

ider of offenses charged against multiis subject to harmless error rule and is il per se, so as to require automaticarever tion. United States v Lane (1986) 674. L Ed 2d 814, 106 S Ct 725, reh den (196 1104, 89 L Ed 2d 907, 106 S Ct. 1502 per joinder of defendants under Rule 800 for reversal of defendants' convictions to tation of stolen vehicles in interstate United States v Eagleston (1969, CA10 Ota

viction will not be reversed on appeal the at trial establishes that joinder was proper f rule is read literally to require that be r appear on face of pleading, error would miless. United States v Roselli (1970) 132 F2d 879, cert den (1971) 401 US90 2d 828, 91 S Ct 883 and cert den (1971) 24, 27 L Ed 2d 828, 91 S Ct 884,10 1) 402 US 924, 28 L Ed 2d 665, 91 S.Ch. 5 inder of actual defendant with one who is in government informant, not guilty of crime th he is charged, is reversible error. United \$5 ispo (1972, CA3 Pa) 460 F2d 965, nproper joinder of offenses or defendants

e 8 is ground for reversal. United Supplemental Supplemen rionneaux (1975, CA5 La) 514 F2d 1244 mproper joinder of defendants under Rule & ound for reversal of defendant's robbers

# RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

tion. United States v Satterfield (1977, CA9 Or) 548

Document 1

Misjoinder of offenses is in itself error; however such error is not automatic ground for reversal absent showing of prejudice. United States v Jamar (1977, CA4 Va) 561 F2d 1103, 1 Fed Rules Evid Serv 1040.

Question of propriety of joinder under Rule 8 is question of law, subject to full appellate review and if joinder is not permitted by Rule 8, conviction must be reversed unless error was harmless. United States Werner (1980, CA2 NY) 620 F2d 922, 5 Fed Rules Evid Serv 833.

Propriety of joinder under Rule 8(a) is question of aw and improper joinder requires reversal unless Rule 8 error is harmless. United States v Ajlouny (1980, CA2 NY) 629 F2d 830, cert den (1981) 449 tis 1111, 66 L Ed 2d 840, 101 S Ct 920.

Common plan, scheme, or conspiracy need not be charged on face of indictment, and although Rule (8(b) standards are stated in terms of required allegations, conviction will not be reversed on appeal if evidence at trial establishes that joinder was proper. mited States v Ford (1980, CA9 Cal) 632 F2d 1354, 105 BNA LRRM 2969, 7 Fed Rules Evid Serv 443, orden (1981) 450 US 934, 67 L Ed 2d 369, 101 S 0.1399 and (ovrld in part on other grounds by United States v De Bright (1984, CA9 Ariz) 730 F2d

Error involving Rule 8(b) misjoinder requires reall only if resulting in actual injury due to cantial and injurious effect or influence on jury's which determination. United States v Lueth (1986, 148 Iowa) 807 F2d 719, post-conviction relief den 1996 CA8 Iowa) 1996 US App LEXIS 29605.

oversal was not required on basis of claims that tiple conspiracies existed in defendant's case than single, overall conspiracy, and that alwanance between indictment and proof prejudefendant, since proper joinder is determined fice of indictment, and thus, where single conwas charged in indictment, joinder was notwithstanding fact that evidence at trial fmultiple conspiracies, and since if any error steel it was harmless in light of overwhelming that, even if separate conspiracies were defendant was at hub of each. United States (1987, CA7 III) 809 F2d 397.

#### New and/or separate trials

lants will be given chance to raise Rule 8(b) continuitimely fashion, where new trial is

granted on other grounds, if trial court denied pretrial motion to sever based upon prejudicial joinder due to codefendants inconsistent and conflicting defenses, and refused to change ruling after evidence had been presented, even though argument that joinder of all cases in indictment was improper under Rule 8(b) was raised for first time on appeal. United States v Bailey (1978) 190 US App DC 142, 585 F2d 1087, revd on other grounds (1980) 444 US 394, 62 L Ed 2d 575, 100 S Ct 624.

Proper relief for misjoinder of offenses is to order separate trial of counts, rather than dismiss entire indictment. United States v Goodman (1960, CA5 Fla) 285 F2d 378, cert den (1961) 366 US 930, 6 L Ed 2d 389, 81 S Ct 1651; United States v Bally Mfg. Corp. (1972, ED La) 345 F Supp 410.

When court on appeal concludes that there is insufficient evidence to support finding of one conspiracy, it would be prejudicial to parties to remand case for single trial of multiple conspiracies; rather, on demand there should be 2 separate trials. United States v Varelli (1969, CA7) 407 F2d 735.

Misjoinder constitutes reversible error which warrants remand for new and separate trials unless substantially all of evidence adduced at joint trial would be admissible at separate trials. United States v Chinchic (1981, CA4 NC) 655 F2d 547, 62 ALR Fed 97.

Joinder, into one indictment, of 4 counts of passing and possessing counterfeit federal reserve notes, is not ground for acquittal or new trial, since offenses are of same or similar character. United States v Leitner (1962, SD NY) 202 F Supp 688, affd (1963, CA2 NY) 312 F2d 107.

Failure to sever 2 counts of mail fraud upon which defendant is convicted, from 4 counts of mail fraud upon which defendant is acquitted, is not ground for new trial. United States v Ashton (1974, WD Pa) 399 F Supp 1192, affd without op (1975, CA3 Pa) 521 F2d 1399, cert den (1976) 424 US 942, 47 L Ed 2d 348, 96 S Ct 1409.

Joinder of charges in one indictment in separate counts may be held improper when existing circumstances make such joinder unjust to defendant, but it does not vitiate indictment in its entirety as it may be cured by ordering separate trials of counts. United States v Pagan (1975, DC Puerto Rico) 393 F Supp

# Me 9. Warrant or Summons Upon Indictment or Information

Issuance. Upon the request of the attorney for the government the court issue a warrant for each defendant named in an information supported by wing of probable cause under oath as is required by Rule 4(a), or in an tment. Upon the request of the attorney for the government a summons

Circuit Court of Maryland

Go Back

# Case Information

Court System: Circuit Court of Howard County - Criminal System

Case Number 13K05044505

Title: State Of Maryland vs Jerome Julius Brown

Case Type: Information Filing Date: 01/19/2005

Case Status: Reopened/Active Case Disposition: Disposition Date:

# Defendant Information

(Each Alias, Address, and Attorney for the Defendant is displayed)

Name: Brown, Jerome Julius

Race: African American

Sex: M Height: 6'01" Weight: 175 DOB: 08/17/1953

Address: 2805 Lakehurst Avenue

City: District Heights State: MD Zip Code: 20747

Address: 6655 Sykesville Rd

City: Sykesville State: MD Zip Code: 21784

Address: Howard County Detention Center City: Jessup State: MD Zip Code: 20794

Attorney(s) for the Defendant

Name: McKenna, John Michael

Practice Name:

Address: 5407 Water Street

City: Upper Marlboro State: MD Zip Code: 20772

# Court Scheduling Information

Event Type: Scheduling Conference Notice Date: 02/14/2005

Event Date: 02/18/2005 Event Time: 08:45 AM

Result: Held/Concluded Result Date: 02/18/2005

Event Type: Bail review Notice Date: 03/09/2005 Event Date: 03/31/2005 Event Time: 08:45 AM

Result: Postponed/Reset Result Date: 03/23/2005

Event Type: Bail review Notice Date: 03/23/2005 Event Date: 04/04/2005 Event Time: 08:45 AM

Result: Postponed/Reset Result Date: 03/31/2005

Event Type: Bail review Notice Date: 03/31/2005 Event Date: 04/05/2005 Event Time: 08:45 AM

Result: Held/Concluded Result Date: 04/05/2005

Event Type: Criminal Motions Notice Date: 03/31/2005

Event Date: 04/15/2005 Event Time: 08:45 AM Result: Postponed Result Date: 04/14/2005

Event Type: Criminal Jury Trial Notice Date: 04/14/2005

Event Date: 05/16/2005 Event Time: 08:45 AM

Result: Postponed/Reset Result Date: 05/13/2005

# STATE OF MARYLAND, HOWARD COUNTY, TO WIT:

J. Joseph Curran, Jr., Attorney General for the State of Maryland, being duly authorized by law, now comes into the said Court and for and on behalf of the State of Maryland gives the Court here to understand that

# JEROME JULIUS BROWN

late of said Howard County, on or about the Fourteenth day of April, in the year Two Thousand Four, at Howard County aforesaid,

1. Did unlawfully, willfully and falsely make an oath and affirmation in an affidavit required by law, to wit, in an application for a permit to wear, carry and transport a handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "Ho" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever handgun did falsely answer handgun did falsely

Criminal Law Article 9-101(a)(2); Penalty 10 years

2. Did unlawfully, willfully and falsely make an oath and affirmation in an affidavit required by law, to wit, in an application for a permit to wear, carry and transport a handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 15 which states " Have you ever been confined or committed to a mental institution or hospital for treatment or observation for a mental or psychiatric condition on a temporary or permanent basis?" when, in fact, he had previously been confined in Crownsville State Hospital for when, in fact, he had previously been confined in Crownsville State Hospital for treatment and observation for a mental condition, said answer being a material statement in violation of Maryland Annotated Code Criminal Law Article statement in violation of Maryland Annotated Code Criminal Law Article statement in violation of the Act of Assembly in such case made and Section 9-101(a)(2), contrary to the form of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, and against the peace, government and dignity of the State.

Criminal Law Article 9-101(a)(2); Penalty 10 Years

3. Did unlawfully, willfully and falsely make an oath and affirmation in an affidavit required by law, to wit, in an application for a permit to wear, carry and transport a handgun did falsely answer "No" to question number 16 which states "Have you ever been attended, treated or observed by any medical doctor, psychiatrist, hospital, or institution, including voluntary commitment, for any mental or psychiatric condition?" when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges when the p

Criminal Law Article 9-101(a)(2); Penalty 10 years

4. Did willfully make a false entry in a public record, to wit, did, in an application for a permit to wear, carry and transport a handgun, answer "No" to question number 12 which states "Have you ever been ARRESTED OR CHARGED OR CONVICTED with a violation of any criminal law?" when in fact he had been arrested for, charged with and violation of any criminal law?" when in fact he had been arrested for, charged with and convicted of violations of the criminal law prior to making the false entry, in violation of convicted of violations of the criminal law Article Section 8-606, contrary to the form of the Maryland Annotated Code Criminal Law Article Section 8-606, contrary to the form of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, and against the peace, government and dignity of the State.

Criminal Law Article Section 8-606; Penalty 3years/\$1000 fine

5. Did willfully make a false entry in a public record, to wit, did, in an application for a permit to wear, carry and transport a handgun, answer "No" to question number 15 which states "Have you ever been confined or committed to a mental institution or which states "Have you ever been confined or committed to a mental institution or whospital for treatment or observation for a mental or psychiatric condition on a temporary or permanent basis?" when, in fact, he had previously been confined in Crownsville or permanent basis?" when, in fact, he had previously been confined in Crownsville or permanent and observation for a mental condition, in violation of State Hospital for treatment and observation for a mental condition, in violation of Maryland Annotated Code Criminal Law Article Section 8-606, contrary to the form of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, and against the peace, government and dignity of the State.

Criminal Law Article Section 8-606; Penalty 3years/\$1000 fine

6. Did willfully make a false entry in a public record, to wit, did, in an application for a permit to wear, carry and transport a handgun, answer "No" to question number 16 which states "Have you ever been attended, treated or observed by any medical doctor, psychiatrist, hospital, or institution, including voluntary commitment, for any mental or psychiatric condition?", when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District psychiatric condition?", when, in fact, he had previously been committed by the District Court for Prince Georges County for mental competency examination, in violation of Maryland Annotated Code Criminal Law Article Section 8-606, contrary to the form of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, and against the peace, government and dignity of the State.

Criminal Law Article Section 8-606; Penalty 3 years/\$1000 fine

#### Rule 9

## RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCESSION

instead of a warrant shall issue. If no request is made, the court may issue ther a warrant or a summons in its discretion. More than one warrant or as mons may issue for the same defendant. The clerk shall deliver the warrant summons to the marshal or other person authorized by law to execute or se it. If a defendant fails to appear in response to the summons, a warrant sing issue. When a defendant arrested with a warrant or given a summons appear initially before a magistrate judge, the magistrate judge shall proceed in accomdance with the applicable subdivisions of Rule 5.

- (b) Form. (1) Warrant. The form of the warrant shall be as provided in Rule 4(c)(1) except that it shall be signed by the clerk, it shall describe the of fense charged in the indictment or information and it shall command that in defendant be arrested and brought before the nearest available magistrate judge. The amount of bail may be fixed by the court and endorsed on the warrant.
  - (2) Summons. The summons shall be in the same form as the warrant except that it shall summon the defendant to appear before a magistrate judge at stated time and place.
- (c) Execution or service; and return. (1) Execution or service. The wantant shall be executed or the summons served as provided in Rule 4(d)(1), (2) and (3). A summons to a corporation shall be served by delivering a copy to an officer or to a managing or general agent or to any other agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process and, if the agent is one authorized by statute to receive service and the statute so requires, by also mailing a copy to the corporation's last known address within the district or at its principal place of business elsewhere in the United States. The officer executing the warrant shall bring the arrested person without unnecessary delay before the nearest available federal magistrate judge or, in the event that a federal magistrate judge is not reasonably available, before a state or local judicial officer authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3041.

(2) Return. The officer executing a warrant shall make return thereof to the magistrate judge or other officer before whom the defendant is brought. At the request of the attorney for the government any unexecuted warrant shall be returned and cancelled. On or before the return day the person to whom a summons was delivered for service shall make return thereof. At the request of the attorney for the government made at any time while the indictment or information is pending, a warrant returned unexecuted and not cancelled or a summons returned unserved or a duplicate thereof may be delivered by the clerk to the marshal or other authorized person for execution or service.

(Amended Oct. 1, 1972; July 31, 1975, P. L. 94-64, §§ 2, 3(4), 89 Stat. 370; Dec. 1, 1975; Dec. 12, 1975, P. L. 94-149, § 5, 89 Stat. 806; Aug. 1, 1979; Aug. 1, 1982; Dec. 1, 1993.)

# HISTORY; ANCILLARY LAWS AND DIRECTIVES

**Amendments:** 1975. Act July 31, 1975 (effective 12/1/75, as provided by § 2 of such Act, which appears as a note to Rule 4), amended subsec. (a) generally.

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

Act Dec. 12, 1975, in subsec. (b)(1) 4(b)(1)"; and, in subsec. (c)(1), sub Rule 4(c)(1), (2) and (3)".

Other provisions: Notes of Advisory Committee. 1. 2. The provision of Rule 9(a) that an information only if the latter is Fourth Amendment to the Constitu United States, 273 U.S. 1, 5, 47 S 3. The provision of Rule 9(b)(1) the court and endorsed on the wa many districts and is intended to dant and eliminate delays between might ensue if bail cannot be fixe Notes of Advisory Committee ( amended to make clear that the United States magistrate if the in offense" triable by the United S Subdivision (c) is amended to re Subdivision (d) is new. It provid istrate of cases in which the pers magistrate can then proceed in right to trial before a judge of Notes of Advisory Committee give high priority to the issuan given for the issuance of an a rule 4.

Under the rule, a summons w the government presents a va rant. Under the old rule, it ha rest warrant if one is desire authorities listed in Frankel, mand: A View From the Ber For an expression of the vie supra, pp. 410-415

A summons may issue if the indictment itself is sufficien See C. Wright, Federal Pra J. Moore, Federal Practice Giordenello v. United State (1958). This is not necessa Wright, supra, § 151; 8 J. 1 a warrant rather than a su the judge to satisfy himse from the information or fr defendant can, at a prope probable cause.

Notes of Committee or Report No. 94-247). A. Rule 9 of the Federal Rul 4. Rule 9 deals with arri

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, CHIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SERVICES DIVISION CLARKSburg
WN 26306 RECORD UP DATED 8/28/2006 FBI IDENTIFICATION RECORD—
FBI NO. 375269P5 (PART 5) (ITEM 16) COURT— CHARGE—PERJURY 3 COUNTS 5003 SENTENCE—HELD \$100.000.00 CASH HELD AT HOWARD COUNTY
DETENTION CENTER, 410-313-5200. 7301 WATER LOOR RD JESSUP MO, RECORD
ATTACHED HERETO BEFORE A MAGISTRATE JUDGE SUSAN GARVEY.

PETITION FOR RULE 9 (b) FORM (1) WARRANT, FOR BAR COUNSEL, MEL-VIN HINSHMAN, WARRANT FOR INVESTIGATOR, WILLIAM M. RAMSEY— WARRANT FOR INVESTIGATOR, MARC O. FIEDLER, ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE CO-MMISSION OF MARYLAND RETURN FORM RECEIVED 11/21/2006 ATTORNY BRIEVANCE COMMISSION, ATTORNEY AGAINST JANETTE É. DEBOISSIERE NATURE OF COMPLAINT AGAINST THE ATTORNEY, INFORMATION.

- (1) BENCH WARRANT ISSUED 8/25/06 TO SHERIPF CHARLES M. CAUE,
- (2) ATTORNEY APPEARANCE REMOVED 3/8/05 CAROL A. HANSON Public DEF.
- (3) ATTORNEY APPEARANCE REMOVED 4/4/05 Louis P. Willemin Public DEF,
- (4) CASE NO. 13KOSO44505 IF INACTIVE/ ClosEd 8/10/05/MCKENNA, EMPl.
- (5) ATTORNEY APPEARANCE REMOVED BILLIOG JOHN M. MCKENNA, \$2,500.00
- (6) CASE NO. 13K05044505 IF 1BO DAYS NO TRIAL, UNDER 18USCS 1201, INFORMATION AND IT SHALL COMMAND THAT THE DEFENDANTS BE

ARRESTED AND BROUGHT BEFORE THE NEAREST AVAILABLE MAGIST-

PETITION FOR RULE 9. INFORMATION (A) ISSUANCE LITP: FROM
JANETTE E. DEBOISSIERE 10/18/06 CASESEARCH. LOURTS. STATE. MD.
US./INQUINY/INQUINY DETAIL. JIS? CASEId=13K05044505 FdETA.
CASE INFORMATION, CASE TYPE INFORMATION FILING DATE 1/19/05.

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SERVICES DIVISION CLARKSBURG, WV 26306

J00000Z ART 5 ICN ISIS0002000004023406

- FBI IDENTIFICATION RECORD - FBI NO-375269P5

14-ARRESTED OR RECEIVED 1999/06/12 SID- MD94273
AGENCY-SHERIFF'S OFFICE UPPER MARLBORO (MD0170000)
AGENCY CASE-048568
CHARGE 1-THEFT 300

15-ARRESTED OR RECEIVED 2001/05/29 SID- MD94273
AGENCY-CO POLICE DEPARTMENT LANDOVER (MD0172100)
AGENCY CASE-000001849422
CHARGE 1-BOMB THREAT/ARSON THREAT
CHARGE 2-FALSE STMT/DESTRUCTION

#### COURT-

CHARGE-ATT-ARSON/THREAT
SENTENCE04-15-2003 NOT GUILTY
CHARGE-FALSE STMT-DESTRUCTIVE DE
SENTENCE04-15-2003 NOT GUILTY

16-ARRESTED OR RECEIVED 2005/01/25 SID- MD94273
AGENCY-SHERIFF'S OFFICE ELLICOTT CITY (MD0140000)
AGENCY CASE-2005031
CHARGE 1-PERJURY 3 COUNTS 5003
CHARGE 2-WEAPON OFFENSE FALSE APPLICATION 5299 3 COUNTS

#### COURT-

CHARGE-PERJURY 3 COUNTS 5003 SENTENCE-HELD \$100,000 CASH HELD AT HCDC

# ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMISSION OF MARYLAND

ATTORNET			
Return Form to: Alterney Grievance Commission of Maryland 100 Community Place	Phone: 410-514-7051 (Annapolis (Toll Free in MD 800-492-1660)		( 25 N (
Suite 3301 Crownsvi le, MD 21032-2027	11 13 2006 (Date)		
1. Your Name: Mr. Mrs. Ms. Miss  11. S. DisTrict Prose #1014, Jerame (Middle)  1209, 7209A Print Shop, Robins (Street)	tood DRIVE P.O. BOX 3	<u>Trust 484</u> 093	
(City)  Telephone Number(s):  Business: 1-917-812-  Attorney against whom you wish to file a complaint:	(State) (Z 3134 Home: 301-42	ip Code) 3-2208 FAX TRICT 10	
(Address) Telephone Number(s): 410-480-7777 FAX	OTT CITY MD 2104 7775 TOH FREE 877-	<u>.                                    </u>	
3 D d you employ the attorney? Yes No In the storney No In the storney (Amount Paid)	(Date)	For REPRESEN	TATION
5. Nature of complaint against the attorney (state in employed the attorney, state what you employed him/her	Competence To Stand	TRIAL 2/25/05 !	io 20 06- EN FOR SERVILL
HTORIVEY APPEARANCE REMOVED 4102	105 CARCI A. HANSON P 105 Louis P. Willemin	ublic Defender Public Defen a Employed	1. del- '2.500.00
CASE NO. 13-K-05-099305 IF LEVEN	any Official or Agency, state the (their	name(s), and the	toay.
7. If your complaint is about a law suit, please furnish the	following information <u>, if a</u> vailable: le of Suit <u>Brown Y. JANE</u> oproximate Date Suit was filed <u>Lo</u>	TTE E. DEbois	SIERE
Number of Suit Ob Y.  18_If you are or have been represented by any other attorney:  CASE No. 13-K-05-0-44-505 IF 180  Signature	any with regard to this matter, state the	e name and address	#1014.
Signatu	(MUS(/be signed)		

Revised 5/1/2006

CIRCUIT COURT FOR HOWARD COUNTY Margaret D. Rappaport Clerk of the Circuit Court 8360 Court Avenue

Ellicott City, MD 21043-4579

(410)-313-2111, TTY for Deaf: (410)-313-3840

Civil (410) 313-3844 Civ. Assign (410) 313-3808 Criminal (410) 313-3822

07/18/05

Case Number: 13-K-05-044505 IF

Date Filed: 01/19/2005 180 Day End: 08/10/05 Status: Closed/Inactive

Judge Assigned: To Be Assigned,

Arrest Tracking Numbers: 05-7013-00001-5

Location : Jail

State Of Maryland vs Jerome Julius Brown

# CASE HISTORY

# OTHER REFERENCE NUMBERS

Number Description -----05-7013-00001-5 Arrest Tracking Number K05044505V01 Case Folder ID

Sykesville, MD 21784

# INVOLVED PARTIES

TMAOTIARS TITLE			
<pre>fype Num Name(Last.First.Mid.Title)</pre>	Addr Str/End	Pty. Disp. Addr Update	Entered
			01/19/05
PLT 001 State Of Maryland	Party ID: 0000013		
Attorney: 0808123 Goetz, Clarence E Office Of The Attorney General 200 St Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202	Appear: 01/19/2005 LISTED AS STATE	's Attorney	01/19/05
DEF 001 Brown. Jerome Julius 08/17/53	Party ID: 0175907		
FBI Number:375269P5 State ID Number:94273			
Incarceration Facility: Springfield Hospital Inst: Springfield Hospital Center	Center 06/17/05	06/17/05 WR	06/17/05 WR

# ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMISSION OF MARYLAND

BAR COUNSEL
MELVIN HIRSHMAN
DEPUTY BAR COUNSEL
GLENN M. GROSSMAN
ASSISTANT BAR COUNSEL
JAMES P. BOTLUK
RAYMOND A. HEIN
DOLORES O. RIDGELL
GAIL D. KESSLER
FLETCHER P. THOMPSON
MARIANNE J. LEE
DOLORES DORSAINVIL

100 COMMUNITY PLACE SUITE 3301 CROWNSVILLE, MD 21032-2027 (410) 514-7051 TOLL FREE 800-492-1660 INVESTIGATORS
MARC O. FIEDLER
STERLING H. FLETCHER
MICHAEL H. PEREGOY
DENNIS F. BIENNAS
C. VERNON WILHELM
WILLIAM M. RAMSEY
PARALEGALS
JOHN DEBONE
TERRY L. RUFFATTO
OFFICE MANAGER
DEBRA ZACHRY

November 29, 2006

# PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Jerome J. Brown, Sr. 6655 Sykesville Road Sol-B Sykesville, MD 21784

RE: File No. 2007-M-206

Dear Mr. Brown:

I am returning your complaint form dated November 13, 2006. You continue to send multiple complaints to this office against various attorneys, none of which have resulted in any findings of any violations of the Maryland Rules of Professional Conduct.

Further correspondence from you will simply be filed without any further response from this office.

Very truly yours,

Melyna Hirshman Bar Counsel

MH/sgt Enclosure(s)

# CIRCUIT COURT FOR HOWARD COUNTY CRIMINAL HEARING SHEET

	DATE 11/30/00
CASE # 13 K 05 44505	Richard
DEFENDANT'S NAME <u>Jerome Juli L</u> ( )NOT PRESENT ( )APPEARED ( ) PRODUCED	<u> </u>
PRESIDING JUDGE _ SWEETELY	STATE'S ATTY DOCTZ
Domichale	CLERK
DEF ATTY / PLOID TIES E	REPORTER Seafult
CASE CALLED FOR:  PLEA  ARRAIGNMENT/SCHEDULING CONFERENCE  BOND HEARING  VIOLATION OF PROBATION  SENTENCING  TRIAL  RECONSIDERATION OF SENTENCE  MOTIONS:  MOTIONS:  COMMENT OF COMPLETION  ***********************************	Court signs order.  *** examined:  *** *** *** ************************
POSTPONEMENT REQUESTED BY:  ( ) DEFENSE ( ) JOINT ( ) O	COURT
( ) STATE ( ) DEFENSE ( ) JOINT ( ) C ( ) OPPOSED ( ) CONSENTED ( ) GRANTED ( ) DENIED ( ) COURT FINDS GO	
<ul> <li>( ) HICKS WAIVED ( ) ▲ RECEIVES ATROC</li> <li>( ) NEW DATE GIVEN:</li></ul>	•
PLEA: ( ) GUILTY COUNTS/RULES ( ) NOT ( ) NOT CRIMINALLY RESPONSIBLE ( ) NOT	GUILTY counts/rulesLO CONTENDERE
( ) STATEMENT OF FACTS ( ) TESTIMONY GIVEN	N ( ) COUNSEL HEARD
CASE SUBMITTED TO AND TRIED BY ( ) COURT	
	ED ( ) DENIED counts
MOTION FOR JUDGEMENT OF ACQUITTAL ( ) GRANTS RENEW MOTION FOR JUDGEMENT OF ACQUITTAL ( )	GRANTED ( ) DENIED counts

JOSEPH CURRAN, JR. ATTORNEY GENERAL

Page 16 of 19

CLARENCE E. GOETZ
Assistant Attorney General
Criminal Investigations Division
Firearms Trafficking Unit
200 St. Paul Place, 18th Floor
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
410-576-6381

CIRCUIT LOURT FOR HOWARD COUNTY CRIMINAL HEARING SHEET CASE # 13KOS 44505 DATE 11/30/06 DEFENDENTS NAME JEROME JULIUS BROWN, STATE'S ATTYS INFORMATION INCORRECT GOETZ, CLARENCE E. ASST. ATTORNEY BENERAL, CHIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION FIRE-ARMS TRAFFICKING UNIT 200 SAINT PAUL PLACE 18th Floor, BATTIMORE MANYLAND 21202 410-576-6381. ATTACHED HERETO BEFORE MAGISTRATE JUDGE SUSAN GARVEY. CRIMINAL HEARING SHEET CASE # 13KOS 44505 DATE 11/30/06 DEFENDANTS NAME JEROME JULIUS BROWN, DEFENDANT ATTORNEY JANETTE E. DEBOISSIERE CASE CALLED FOR:. CALLED TO BRING TO ACTION OR UNDER CONSIDERATION RULE 9.

ERIMINAL CHUSES RULE 4-212 DATE 11/19/04 TIME 12: 27:54

ITEM (2), IN THE CINCUIT COURT. UPON THE REGUEST OF STATE'S

ATTORNEY TIMENTHY J. MCCORNE 410-313-2108, THE COURT

MAY ORDER ISSUANCE OF A WARRANT FOR THE ARREST OF A DE
FENDANT. IF AN INFORMATION: NO STATES ATTORNEY.

ISSUANCE, (1) IN THE CINCUIT COURT FOR HOWARD COUNTY CASE
NO. 13K05044505 IF, FAXED JAN 20 05 01:44 PM. STATE OF MARYLAND Y. JEROME JULIUS BROWN DEFENDANT. MOTION FOR
ISSUANCE OF A WARRANT, THE STATE OF MARYLAND by iTS ATTORNEYS J. JOSEPH CURNAN JR ATTORNEY GENERAL ATTACHED HERETO INFORMATION AND IT SHALL COMMAND THAT THE DEFENDANTS
BE ARRESTED AND BROUGHT BEFORE THE NEAREST AVAILABLE
MAGISTRATE JUDGE SUSAN BARVEY. U.S. BOUNTY #1014.

MR. Juome Julius Brown SR.

Page: 527 Job 04 Time: 12:27:54<sub>0000</sub> Path: @psc3943/Charlottesville\_51\_71\_data1/stcodes/md/rls-repl/qj59223.n1 Date: 11/19/ MD 2005 Rules - EP Run

#### CRIMINAL CAUSES

Rule 4-212

officer may, and upon request of the State's Attorney shall, issue a warrant for the arrest of the defendant, other than a corporation, upon a finding that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed the offense charged in the charging document and that (A) the defendant has previously failed to respond to a summons that has been personally served or a citation, or (B) there is a substantial likelihood that the defendant will not respond to a summons, or (C) the whereabouts of the defendant are unknown and the issuance of a warrant is necessary to subject the defendant to the jurisdiction of the court, or (D) the defendant is in custody for another offense, or (E) there is probable cause to believe that the defendant poses a danger to another person or to the community. A copy of the charging document shall be attached to the warrant.

- (2) In the circuit court. Upon the request of the State's Attorney, the court may order issuance of a warrant for the arrest of a defendant, other than a corporation, if an information has been filed against the defendant and the circuit court or the District Court has made a finding that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed the offense charged in the charging document or if an indictment has been filed against the defendant; and (A) the defendant has not been processed and released pursuant to Rule 4-216, or (B) the court finds there is a substantial likelihood that the defendant will not respond to a summons. A copy of the charging document shall be attached to the warrant. Unless the court finds that there is a substantial likelihood that the defendant will not respond to a criminal summons, the court shall not order issuance of a warrant for a defendant who has been processed and released pursuant to Rule 4-216 if the circuit court charging document is based on the same alleged acts or transactions. When the defendant has been processed and released pursuant to Rule 4-216, the issuance of a warrant for violation of conditions of release is governed by Rule 4-217.
- (3) Inspection of the warrant and charging document. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, files and records of the court pertaining to a warrant issued pursuant to subsection (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this Rule and the charging document upon which the warrant was issued shall not be open to inspection until either (A) the warrant has been served and a return of service has been filed in compliance with section (g) of this Rule or (B) 90 days have elapsed since the warrant was issued. Thereafter, unless sealed pursuant to Rule 4-201 (d), the files and records shall be open to inspection.

Committee note. — This subsection does not preclude the release of otherwise available statistical information concerning unserved arrest warrants nor does it prohibit a State's Attorney or peace officer from releasing information pertaining to an unserved arrest warrant and charging document. Cross references. — See Rule 4-201 concerning charging documents. See Code, State Government Article, § 10-616 (q), which governs inspection of court records pertaining to an arrest warrant.

(e) Execution of warrant — Defendant not in custody. Unless the defendant is in custody, a warrant shall be executed by the arrest of the

STATE OF MARYLAND IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

FOR ٧.

JEROME JULIUS BROWN **HOWARD COUNTY** 

Defendant Case No.

13-K-05-44505

#### MOTION FOR ISSUANCE OF A WARRANT

The State of Maryland, by its attorneys, J. Joseph Curran, Jr., Attorney General, and Paul E. Budlow, Assistant Attorney General, moves that this Court issue a warrant for the arrest of the above named Defendant, pursuant to Maryland Rule 4-212(d), and in support states:

- 1. The Defendant has been charged with the crimes of Making False Entry in a Public Document and Perjury, by way of Criminal Information, filed on January 19, 2005, In the Circuit Court for Howard County.
  - The Defendant is not now in custody on the above charges.
- 3. The Defendant has not been processed and released pursuant to Maryland Rule 4-216.
  - 4. The State believes a warrant is justified for the following reasons:
  - The defendant has a lengthy criminal history including convictions for 8. assault, battery, resisting arrest and areon. FALSE IN FORMATION
  - The defendant has been documented to be mentally unstable (see affidavit). b.
  - It is believed that the defendant is currently in unlawful possession of a C. handgun and a shotgun. NOT IN POSSESSION OF.
  - The defendant has no stable address. d.